

Rebekah S. Guyon (SBN 291037)
Rebekah.Guyon@gtlaw.com
Lori Chang (SBN 228142)
ChangL@gtlaw.com
David H. Marenberg (SBN 329954)
MarenbergD@gtlaw.com
GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP
1840 Century Park East, 19th Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90067-2121
Tel: 310-586-7700; Fax: 310-586-7800

Attorneys for Defendants Vision Service Plan, VSP
Ventures, LLC, VSP Ventures Management
Services, LLC, and VSP Ventures Optometric
Solutions, LLC

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

BRIAN TASH on behalf of himself and all
others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

v.

VISION SERVICE PLAN a/k/a VSP
GLOBAL, VSP VENTURES, LLC, VSP
VENTURES MANAGEMENT SERVICES,
LLC, and VSP VENTURES OPTOMETRIC
SOLUTIONS, LLC,

Defendants.

CASE 2:25-CV-00762-DJC-JDP

**AMENDED STIPULATED REQUEST FOR
ORDER CONSOLIDATING RELATED CASES,
TO SET DEADLINES FOR CONSOLIDATED
PLEADINGS, AND CONTINUE OR RESET CASE
MANAGEMENT DATES AND RELATED
DEADLINES; ORDER**

Assigned to: Judge Daniel J. Calabretta

PETER HAHN on behalf of himself and all
others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

v.

VISION SERVICE PLAN a/k/a VSP
GLOBAL, VSP VENTURES, LLC, VSP
VENTURES MANAGEMENT SERVICES,
LLC, and VSP VENTURES OPTOMETRIC
SOLUTIONS, LLC,

Defendants.

CASE 2:25-CV-01580-DJC-JDP

Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 6(b)(1), 42(a), Local Rule 144(a), and section IV of the Court’s Standing Order (ECF No. 3-1), plaintiffs Brian Tash (“Tash”), Peter Hahn (“Hahn”), and Defendants Vision Service Plan, VSP Ventures, LLC, VSP Ventures Management Services, LLC, and VSP Ventures Optometric Solutions, LLC (“Defendants”) (collectively, the “Parties”), by and through their respective counsel, stipulate and request that the Court (1) enter an order consolidating the above-captioned action, *Brian Tash v. Vision Service Plan a/k/a VSP Global et al.*, Case No. 2:25-CV-00762-DJC-JDP (E.D. Cal. filed Mar. 6, 2025) (“Tash”), with the related action *Peter Hahn v. Vision Service Plan a/k/a VSP Global et al.*, Case No. 2:25-cv-01580-DJC-JDP (E.D. Cal. filed June 5, 2025) (“Hahn”) reassigned to this Court; (2) enter an order setting deadlines for the filing of a consolidated Complaint by plaintiffs and subsequent response by Defendants; and (3) continue or reset case management dates and related deadlines. In support of this stipulated request, the Parties state as follows:

Tash filed the Complaint on March 6, 2025. ECF No. 1.

Tash served Defendants with a copy of the Complaint on March 24, 2025.

On April 7, 2025, the Court granted an extension of Defendants’ deadline to respond to the Complaint to June 11, 2025.

On June 5, 2025, plaintiff Hahn filed the operative complaint in *Hahn. Hahn*, ECF No. 1.

Upon learning of the *Hahn* action on June 6, 2025, the Parties’ counsel promptly conferred on June 6, 2025 and June 9, 2025.

Defendants filed a notice of related cases in both *Tash* and *Hahn* on June 9, 2025. *Tash*, ECF No. 15; *Hahn*, ECF No. 4.

On June 10, the Court granted a second extension of Defendants’ deadline to respond to the Complaint in *Tash* to July 11, 2025. *Tash*, ECF No. 17.

On June 23, 2025, plaintiff Hahn filed waivers of service signed by Defendants in *Hahn. Hahn*, ECF Nos. 5-8. Defendants’ deadline to respond to the operative complaint in *Hahn* is August 18, 2025.

On June 27, 2025, this Court determined that both *Tash* and *Hahn* are related cases, and *Hahn* was reassigned to this Court. *Tash*, ECF No. 18; *Hahn*, ECF No. 13.

On June 27, 2025, counsel for the Parties met and conferred to discuss seeking consolidation of *Tash* and *Hahn*. The Parties agreed that given the significant overlap in allegations and parties between

1 *Tash* and *Hahn*, it would serve the interests of judicial efficiency to seek an order consolidating the two
 2 actions and setting a briefing schedule for plaintiffs to file a consolidated complaint and for Defendants to
 3 respond to the consolidated complaint.

4 Counsel for Defendants have been retained to represent Defendants in *Hahn* and anticipate filing
 5 appearances shortly in that action.

6 When multiple actions pending before a court involve common questions of law or fact, the court
 7 has “broad discretion” to consolidate the actions and issue any other orders to avoid unnecessary cost or
 8 delay. Fed. R. Civ. P. 42(a); *Scott v. Cnty. of Kern*, 2025 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 106329, at *3 (E.D. Cal. June
 9 4, 2025) (citing *Garity v. APWU Nat’l Labor Org.*, 828 F.3d 848, 855-56 (9th Cir. 2016)). “Typically,
 10 consolidation is a favored procedure.” *Blount v. Boston Sci. Corp.*, 2019 WL 3943872, at *2 (E.D. Cal.
 11 Aug. 21, 2019). In deciding whether to consolidate actions, the court “weighs the saving of time and effort
 12 consolidation would produce against any inconvenience, delay, or expense that it would cause.” *Scott*, 2025
 13 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 106329, at *3.

14 This stipulation is without waiver of Defendants’ rights to challenge class certification on any basis,
 15 including, without limitation, commonality, predominance, and superiority. Nevertheless, the Parties agree
 16 and stipulate that the similarities in parties and allegations in both cases warrant consolidation under Fed.
 17 R. Civ. P. 42(a) here. The Court has determined that the cases are “related within the meaning of Local
 18 Rule 123(a)” because “[b]oth actions involve the common parties, are based on the same or a similar claim,
 19 and raise similar questions of fact and law.” *Tash*, ECF No. 18. Both actions involve the same Defendants,
 20 and the named plaintiffs in both actions assert claims on behalf of overlapping putative classes. *Compare*
 21 *Tash*, ECF No. 1 at 1 & ¶ 157 *with Hahn*, ECF No. at 1 & ¶ 73. Both actions assert claims for violations
 22 of the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 18 U.S.C. § 2510, *et seq.*, the California Invasion of Privacy
 23 Act, Cal. Pen. Code §§ 631(a) and 638.51(a), the Confidentiality of Medical Information Act, Cal. Civ.
 24 Code § 56, *et seq.*, and the Unfair Competition Law, Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17200, *et seq.* *Compare*
 25 *Tash*, Dkt. 1 ¶¶ 166-215, 228-57 *with Hahn*, Dkt. 1 ¶¶ 82-144. The named plaintiffs in both actions allege
 26 that Defendants used the “Meta Pixel” and other “Tracking Technologies” on the same alleged “Web
 27 Properties” to allegedly intercept and/or transmit the named plaintiffs’ internet communications and alleged
 28 “Private Information” to third parties without authorization. *Compare Tash*, ECF No. 1 ¶¶ 1-13 *with Hahn*,

ECF No. 1 ¶¶ 1-11.

The Parties agree that consolidation of *Tash* and *Hahn* would reduce the burden on judicial resources and all parties involved, eliminate the risk of inconsistent judgments, and avoid duplicative evidence and procedures. The Parties also believe that consolidation would not cause undue delay, inconvenience, or expense. Defendants have not yet filed a responsive pleading in *Tash* or *Hahn*; both *Tash* and *Hahn* are therefore at substantially the same stages of litigation.

Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 6(b)(1) also allows the Court to extend deadlines for good cause shown. The Parties agree that good cause exists here. The proposed modified briefing schedule will both promote efficiency and conserve the Court's and the Parties' resources. Continuing or resetting case management dates set forth in the Court's initial case management order until after Defendants have responded to the consolidated complaint will further promote efficiency and conserve judicial and party resources, as the issues to be discussed in the parties' Rule 26(f) discovery plan—including the proposed case schedule, contested issues, and discovery procedures—may be affected by Defendants' response to the consolidated complaint. The proposed stipulations are not sought to unduly delay the proceedings and will not prejudice any Party.

For these reasons, the parties jointly stipulate and request that the Court order the consolidation of *Tash* and *Hahn* and enter the following case schedule:

Deadline for plaintiffs Tash and Hahn to file and serve a consolidated complaint	August 28, 2025
Deadline for Defendants to respond to the consolidated complaint	October 6, 2025 (45 days after deadline to file and serve consolidated complaint)
Deadline for the Parties to submit a joint status report pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f) and paragraph 4 of the Civil Initial Case Management Order (ECF No. 14)	November 20, 2025 (45 days after deadline for Defendants to respond to the consolidated complaint)

//

The Parties respectfully request that this Stipulation be granted by signing the accompanying proposed order.

Dated: July 14, 2025

MILBERG COLEMAN BRYSON PHILLIPS
GROSSMAN, PLLC

By: /s/ Heather Lopez (as authorized on July 8, 2025)

John J. Nelson
402 W. Broadway, Suite 1760
San Diego, CA 92101
Telephone: 858-209-6941
jnelson@milberg.com

Heather Lopez
148 Dolphin Ct.
American Canyon, CA 94589
Telephone: 707-334-3727
hlopez@milberg.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff Brian Tash

Dated: July 14, 2025

GUSTAFSON GLUEK PLLC

By: /s/ Dennis Stewart (as authorized on July 11, 2025)

Dennis Stewart
GUSTAFSON GLUEK PLLC
600 W. Broadway, Suite 3300
San Diego, CA 92101
Telephone: 619-595-3299

Daniel C. Hedlund (*pro hac vice*)
Daniel J. Nordin (*pro hac vice*)
Mary M. Nikolai (*pro hac vice forthcoming*)
Bailey Twyman-Metzger (*pro hac vice*)
GUSTAFSON GLUEK PLLC
Canadian Pacific Plaza
120 South Sixth Street, Suite 2600
Minneapolis, MN 55402
Telephone: 612-333-8844
dhedlund@gustafsongluek.com
dnordin@gustafsongluek.com
mnikolai@gustafsongluek.com
btwymanmetzger@gustafsongluek.com

WEXLER BOLEY & ELGERSMA LLP

Kenneth A. Wexler (*pro hac vice forthcoming*)
Justin N. Boley (*pro hac vice forthcoming*)
Zoran Tasić (*pro hac vice forthcoming*)
Gwyneth F. Lietz (*pro hac vice forthcoming*)
311 S. Wacker Drive, Suite 5450
Chicago, IL 60606
Telephone: 312-346-2222
Facsimile: 312-346-0022
kaw@wbe-llp.com
jnb@wbe-llp.com
zt@wbe-llp.com
gfl@wbe-llp.com

Brett Cebulash (*pro hac vice forthcoming*)
Kevin Landau (*pro hac vice forthcoming*)
Joshua Hall (*pro hac vice forthcoming*)
TAUS, CEBULASH & LANDAU, LLP
123 William St., Suite 1900A
New York, NY 10038
Telephone: 212-931-0704
Facsimile: 212-931-0703
bcebulash@tcllaw.com
klandau@tcllaw.com
jhall@tcllaw.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff Peter Hahn

Dated: July 14, 2025

GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP

By: /s/ Rebekah S. Guyon

Rebekah S. Guyon
Lori Chang
David H. Marenberg
1840 Century Park East, 19th Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90067
Tel: 310-586-7700; Fax: 310-586-7800
Email: Rebekah.Guyon@gtlaw.com
ChangL@gtlaw.com
MarenbergD@gtlaw.com

Attorneys for Defendants Vision Service Plan, VSP
Ventures, LLC, VSP Ventures Management Services, LLC,
and VSP Ventures Optometric Solutions, LLC

ORDER

The Court, having considered the stipulation between Plaintiffs Brian Tash and Peter Hahn and Defendants Vision Service Plan, VSP Ventures, LLC, VSP Ventures Management Services, LLC, and VSP Ventures Optometric Solutions, LLC (“VSP”), and for good cause shown, HEREBY ORDERS that:

1. *Brian Tash v. Vision Service Plan a/k/a VSP Global et al.*, Case No. 2:25-CV-00762-DJC-JDP, and *Peter Hahn v. Vision Service Plan a/k/a VSP Global et al.*, Case No. 2:25-cv-01580-DJC-JDP are consolidated for all purposes.
2. The case identified as *Brian Tash v. Vision Service Plan a/k/a VSP Global et al.*, Case No. 2:23-CV-00762-DJC-JDP, will be designated as the “master file”.
3. The Clerk of Court shall add the Complaint filed in the case identified as *Peter Hahn v. Vision Service Plan a/k/a VSP Global et al.*, Case No. 2:25-cv-01580-DJC-JDP to the master file and shall thereafter administratively close Case No. 2:25-cv-01580-DJC-JDP.
4. All future pleadings, motions, and other filings shall be filed in the case identified as *Brian Tash v. Vision Service Plan a/k/a VSP Global et al.*, Case No. 2:25-CV-00762-DJC-JDP only.
5. Plaintiffs shall file and serve a consolidated complaint on or before August 28, 2025.
6. VSP shall answer or otherwise respond to the consolidated complaint on or before October 6, 2025.
7. The Parties shall submit a joint status report pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f) and paragraph 4 of the Civil Initial Case Management Order in the lead case on or before November 20, 2025.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: July 14, 2025

/s/ Daniel J. Calabretta

THE HONORABLE DANIEL J. CALABRETTA
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE